

**Notice of Non-Compliant  
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/863,419	NORCOTT, WILLIAM D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
USMAAN SAEED	2166	

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

The amendment document filed on 30 January 2008 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

- ☐ 1. Amendments to the specification:
  - ☐ A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
  - ☐ B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
  - ☐ C. Other \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ 2. Abstract:
  - ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
  - ☐ B. Other \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings:
  - ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).
  - ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
  - ☐ C. Other \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☒ 4. Amendments to the claims:
  - ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
  - ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)
  - ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
  - ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
  - ☒ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
- ☐ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):  
\_\_\_\_\_

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.

**TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:**

1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted.
2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

**Extensions of time** are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

**Failure to timely respond** to this notice will result in:

**Abandonment** of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

**Non-entry** of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

/Hosain T Alam/ SPE AU 2166

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.



Continuation of 4(e) Other: The amendments to independent claim 7 are improper because it contain limitations which are not underlined and limitations that were present in the previous claim 7 but cancelled now are not striked through. The claim 7 received on 1/30/08 recites "copying the recovery log from the on-line transaction processing (OLTP) system." The previous claim 7 filed with RCE do not recite "the on-line transaction processing (OLTP) system," therefore these amendments should be underlined. Further the previous claim 7 recites "said change data indicating at least one modification that has been performed to a source object" but it is not present in the claims or striked through to cancel this limitation. Appropriate corrections are required.

Organization

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450



Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

## Self Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

## AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



02 1M  
0004244975  
\$ 00.420  
JUN 09 2008  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 7

JUN 16 2008

Use

W  
H  
X  
H  
Z

201

1

00 08/14/08

NOT DELETED

EC: 22313145050

\*0417-02894-09-40

**ଅନୁଷ୍ଠାନର ନାମ**

